Logic - Tutorial 3

 $Professor: \ Pascal \ Gribomont - {\tt gribomont@montefiore.ulg.ac.be}$

TA: Antoine Dubois - antoine.dubois@uliege.be

Faculty of Applied Sciences University of Liège

Reminder

Logical equivalence

Let A_1 and A_2 be two propositions:

- Two formulas A_1 and A_2 are **logically equivalent** if they have the same models.
- Notation: $A_1 \longleftrightarrow A_2$

Equivalent formulations

- ② $A_1 \equiv A_2$ is valid

Exercise 1

Show that $(X \land Y) \Rightarrow Z$ et $X \Rightarrow (Y \Rightarrow Z)$ are logically equivalent.

Exercise 1 - Solution

Let denote by the first formula by $A \triangleq (X \land Y) \Rightarrow Z$ and the second formula by $B \triangleq X \Rightarrow (Y \Rightarrow Z)$. We have 4 possibilities:

- **1** Show $A \leftrightarrow B$, i.e. A and B have the same models
- 2 Show $A \equiv B$ is valid
- **3** Show $A \Rightarrow B$ is valid and $B \Rightarrow A$ is valid
- **3** Show $A \models B$ and $B \models A$

We will show the solution with method 4 (feel free to try the other at home).

Exercise 1 - Solution

$$A \models B$$

Let v be a valuation s.t. v(A) = T. Does it imply that v(B) = T? We have: v(A) = T implies $v(X \wedge Y) = F$ or v(Z) = T

- Case 1: $v(X \land Y) = F$ implies v(X) = F or v(Y) = F
 - Case 1.1: v(X) = F implies $v(B) = T \rightarrow \mathbf{OK}$
 - Case 1.2: v(Y) = F implies $v(Y \Rightarrow Z) = T$ which implies v(B) = T \rightarrow **OK**
- Case 2: v(Z) = T implies $v(Y \Rightarrow Z) = T$ which implies v(B) = T \rightarrow **OK**

Exercise 1 - Solution

$B \models A$

Let v be a valuation s.t. v(B) = T. Does it imply that v(A) = T? We have: v(B) = T implies v(X) = F or $v(Y \Rightarrow Z) = T$

- Case 1: v(X) = F implies $v(X \land Y) = F$ which implies $v(A) = T \rightarrow \mathbf{OK}$
- Case 2: $v(Y \Rightarrow Z) = T$ implies v(Y) = F or v(Z) = T
 - Case 2.1: v(Y) = F implies $v(X \land Y) = F$ which implies $v(A) = T \rightarrow \mathbf{OK}$
 - Case 2.2: v(Z) = T implies $v(A) = T \rightarrow \mathbf{OK}$

Let A, B, X and Y be formulas. If $A \models B$, what can you say, in general, about

- $C \triangleq X \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow Y)$
- $D \triangleq X \Rightarrow (B \Rightarrow Y)$

 $(C \models D ? D \models C ? C \leftrightarrow D ?$ No logical consequence?)

Exercise 2 - Solution

Α	В	Χ	Υ	$A \Rightarrow Y$	$B \Rightarrow Y$	С	D
T	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
Т	Т	Т	F	F	F	F	F
Т	Т	F	Т	F T	F T	Т	Т
Т	Т	F	F	F	F	T	Т
Т	F	Т	Т	Т	T	Т	Т
Т	F	Т	F	F	Т	F	T T T
Т	F	F	Т	F T	T	F T	Т
Т	F	F	F		Т	Т	Т
F	Τ	Т	Т	F T T	Т	T T T	T F
F	Τ	Т	F	Т	F	Т	F
F	Т	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
F	Τ	F	F	Т	F	T T T T	Т
F	F	Т	Т	Т	т	Т	Т
F	F	Т	F	Т	Т	Т	T T T
F	F	F	Т	T T T	T T	Т	Т
F	F	F	F	Т	T 👊	Ţ	,T₁ ₌

Exercise 2 - Solution

	Α	В	Χ	Υ	$A \Rightarrow Y$	$B \Rightarrow Y$	C	D
	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
	Т	T	T	F	F	F	F	F
	Т	Т	F	Т	T	T	Т	Т
	Τ	Τ	F	F	F	F	Т	Т
	Т	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
	Т	F	Т	F	F	Т	F	Т
	Т	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
$A \not\models B$	Т	F	F	F	F	Т	Т	Т
	F	T	T	T	Т	Т	Т	T
	F	Т	T	F	Т	F	Т	F
	F	Т	F	Τ	Т	Т	Т	Т
	F	Τ	F	F	Т	F	Т	Т
	F	F	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
	F	F	Т	F	Т	Т	Т	Т
	F	F	F	Τ	Т	Т	Т	Т
	F	F	F	F	Т	l and the state of	₹.	

Exercise 2 - Solution

Conclusions:

- $C \not\models D$: When v(A) = v(Y) = F and v(B) = v(X) = T, we have v(C) = T and v(D) = F
- $D \models C$: For every model v for which v(D) = T, v(C) = T
- *C* ↔ *D*: *C* $\not\models$ *D*

Let A, B, X and Y be formulas. If $A \models B$, what can you say, in general, about

- $C \triangleq \neg (X \Rightarrow A) \lor Y$
- $D \triangleq \neg (X \Rightarrow B) \lor Y$

Exercise 3 - Solution

$C \models D$

Let v be a valuation s.t. v(C) = T. Then $v(\neg(X \Rightarrow A)) = T$ or v(Y) = T

- Case 1: v(Y) = T implies $v(D) = T \rightarrow \mathbf{OK}$
- <u>Case 2</u>:
 - $v(\neg(X\Rightarrow A)) = T$ implies $v(X\Rightarrow A) = F$ and thus v(X) = T and v(A) = F.
 - But then we can find v such that v(X) = T, v(A) = F, v(B) = T which implies v(C) = T but v(D) = F
 - Therefore $C \not\models D$.

Exercise 3 - Solution

$$D \models C$$

Let v be a valuation s.t. v(D) = T. Then $v(\neg(X \Rightarrow B)) = T$ or v(Y) = T

- Case 1: v(Y) = T implies $v(C) = T \rightarrow \mathbf{OK}$
- Case 2:
 - $v(\neg(X\Rightarrow B))=T$ implies $v(X\Rightarrow B)=F$ and thus v(X)=T and v(B)=F
 - $A \models B$ and v(B) = F implies v(A) = F
 - $v(C) = v(\neg(X \Rightarrow A) \lor Y) = v(\neg(\text{true} \Rightarrow \text{false}) \lor Y) = v(\neg \text{false} \lor Y) = v(\text{true} \lor Y) = T$

$$C \leftrightarrow D$$

No as $C \not\models D$

Let A, B, X and Y be formulas. If $A \models B$, what can you say, in general, about

- $C \triangleq X \Rightarrow (A \equiv Y)$
- $D \triangleq X \Rightarrow (B \equiv Y)$

Exercise 4 - Solution

The case where v(X) = F is trivial and leads to v(C) = v(D) = T. Similarly, when v(A) = T, as $A \models B$, this implies v(B) = T and the two formulas have the same truth value whatever the valuation.

Therefore, let's consider the case where v(X) = T and v(A) = F. Considering v(Y), we have two possibilities:

<u>Case 1:</u> v(Y) = FIn that case, we directly see that if v(B) = T, then v(C) = T and v(D) = F which means that $C \mod SD$.

<u>Case 2:</u> v(Y) = TIn that case, we directly see that if v(B) = T, then v(C) = F and v(D) = T which means that $D \mid modelsC$

Therefore $C \leftrightarrow D$



Let A, B, X and Y be formulas. If $A \models B$, what can you say, in general, about

- $C \triangleq X \Rightarrow (\neg A \land Y)$
- $D \triangleq X \Rightarrow (\neg B \land Y)$

Exercise 5 - Solution

$$C \models D$$

Let v(X) = T, v(Y) = T, v(A) = F, v(B) = T then v(C) = T but v(D) = F. Therefore $C \not\models D$.

$D \models C$

Let ν be a valuation s.t. $\nu(D) = T$. Then $\nu(X) = F$ or $\nu(\neg B \land Y) = T$.

- Case 1: v(X) = F implies $v(C) = T \rightarrow \mathbf{OK}$
- <u>Case 2</u>:
 - $v(\neg B \land Y) = T$ implies v(Y) = T and v(B) = F
 - $A \models B$ and v(B) = F implies v(A) = F.
 - Thus $v(C) = v(X \Rightarrow (\neg A \land Y)) = v(X \Rightarrow (\neg \text{false} \land \text{true})) = v(X \Rightarrow \text{true}) = T$

$$\rightarrow$$
 0K

Thus $D \models C$

$$C \leftrightarrow D$$

No as $C \not\models D$.

Consider a set of five propositional variables $P \triangleq \{a, b, c, d, e\}$.

- How many formulas, up to logical equivalence, exist that are satisfied by exactly seventeen interpretations?
- ② How many formulas, up to logical equivalence, exist that are logical consequence of the formula $a \wedge b$?

Exercise 6 - Solution

- a) We have 5 variables, so the truth table has $2^5 = 32$ lines.
- For one set of logically equivalent formulas, 17 of these lines must be true. To find how many different formulas, up to logical equivalence exists, we therefore need to estimate how many ways there are to select 17 lines among 32?

Answer: $C_{32}^{17} = \frac{32!}{17!15!}$

b) This means formulas must be true when $a \wedge b$ is true, i.e. when a is T and b is T. But they can be anything the rest of the time! When a and b are T, this corresponds to $2^3 = 8$ lines in the truth table, thus 32 - 8 = 24 lines are free, which leads to 2^{24} formulas.