

Intelligent robotics

The RAGI project

Summary

- What is RAGI?
- The Loomo robot
- Loomo's navigation system
 - I) Localization
 - II) Path computation
 - III) Path following
 - IV) Obstacle avoidance



Système de Reconnaissance, d'Accueil et de Guidance Intelligent



Main goals:

Localizing people

guiding visitors























Loomo robot



Why Loomo?

- Cheap
- Powerful & reliable locomotion
- 2D & 3D cameras
- API for developers



Navigation system

4 main problems:

I) Localization

II) Path computation

III) Path following

IV) Obstacle avoidance

Navigation system

Our solutions:

I) Localization

Particle filter : Corrective Gradient Refinement [1]

II) Path computation

III) Path following

IV) Obstacle avoidance

Particle filter principle



Particle filter principle



Step 1: particles generation

Particle filter principle



Step 2: particles weight update

Particle filter principle



Step 2: particles weight update

Particle filter principle



Step 2: particles weight update

Particle filter principle



Step 2: particles weight update

Particle filter principle



Step 2: particles weight update

Particle filter principle



Step 3: resampling

Particle filter principle



Step 4: move the particles as the vehicle moves

Particle filter principle



Back to step 2: update the weights

Particle filter principle



Back to step 3: resampling

Particle filter principle



Back to step 4: move the particles

Particle filter principle



And so on...

Particle filter principle



And so on...

Particle filter principle



And so on...

Particle filter principle



And so on...

Particle filter principle



And so on...

Particle filter principle



And so on...
General algorithm:

- Particles initialization
 Weights update based on measurements
- 3) Resampling
- 4) Particles propagation Through motion model

Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

- 1) Particles initialization
- 2) Weights update based on measurements
 - **I**
- 3) Resampling
- 4) Particles propagation Through motion model





Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR) :



The less particles, the faster the computation time!

Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

- 2) Weights update based on measurements
 - a) Wall planes extraction
 - b) 2D projection
 - c) For each particle, probability of pointcloud observation



Not the only way to go, could add other types of measures (Lidar,...)

Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

2) Weights update based on measurements

Wall planes extraction
 with Fast Sampling Plane Filtering [2] algorithm

Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

2) Weights update based on measurements

Wall planes extraction
 with Fast Sampling Plane Filtering [2] algorithm

RANSAC [3] based



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

2) Weights update based on measurements

a) Wall planes extraction
 with Fast Sampling Plane Filtering [2] algorithm

Extraction directly from depthmap

Reduced pointclouds







Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

- 2) Weights update based on measurements
 - a) Wall planes extraction
 - b) 2D projection —> *trivial*
 - c) For each particle, probability of pointcloud observation

Our case, corrective gradient refinement:

- 2) Weights update based on measurements
 - For each particle,
 probability of pointcloud
 observation

$$p(y|x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \exp\left[-\frac{d_i^2}{2f\sigma^2}\right]$$

- y = pointcloud observation
- x = considered particle
- n = number of points in y
- σ = standart deviation of distance measurement
- f = factor to discount for the correlation between rays





Do not consider all **n** points, need outliers rejection!

Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

3) Resampling



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

3) Resampling



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

3) Resampling



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

3) Resampling



- Roulette wheel
- O(n log n)

Source: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eAqAFSrTGGY

Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

3) Resampling



- Roulette wheel
- O(n log n)

Low varianceO(n)

Wn

W_n-

W₂

W₃

Source: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eAqAFSrTGGY

Our case, corrective gradient refinement: (CGR)



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

5) Refinement — Correcting sample estimates that contradicts the observation

Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

5) Refinement — Correcting sample estimates that contradicts the observation



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

5) Refinement — Correcting sample estimates that contradicts the observation

Using the gradient of the probability of the observation

Probability

Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

5) Refinement — Correcting sample estimates that contradicts the observation

Using the gradient of the probability of the observation

Probability



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

5) Refinement — Correcting sample estimates that contradicts the observation

Using the gradient of the probability of the observation



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

5) Refinement — Correcting sample estimates that contradicts the observation

Using the gradient of the probability of the observation



Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

5) Refinement — Correcting sample estimates that contradicts the observation

Using the gradient of the probability of the observation

Algorithm 1 The Refine step of CGR1: Let $q^0 = \left\{ x_{q^0}^j \right\}_{j=1:m}$ 2: for i = 1 to r do3: $q^i \leftarrow \{\}$ 4: for j = 1 to m do5: $x_{q^i}^j \leftarrow x_{q^{i-1}}^j + \eta \left[\frac{\hat{\delta}}{\delta x} p(y_t | x) \right]_{x=x_{q^{i-1}}^j}$ 6: $q^i \leftarrow q^i \cup x_{q^i}^j$ 7: end for8: end for

Our case, corrective gradient refinement (CGR):

5) Refinement — Correcting sample estimates that contradicts the observation

Using the gradient of the probability of the observation

Acceptance test to be sure that the correction did not make it worse

Why choose CGR particle filter?

- Particle filters good for **non-linear systems**
- Particle filters work for any arbitrary noise distribution
 VS kalman filters work for gaussian noise
- Fit our needs & sensors (**Depth camera** based localization)
- Computation speed
- Source code available

- Embedding ROS c++ code into Loomo
 - Java Native Interface
 - Limited debugging tools
 - Not enough computing power



- CGR running on distant machine
 - Odometry & depth maps sent over WIFI
 - Latency
 - Bandwidth overload
 - Issues when switching between hotspots
 - Not reliable





- Embedded Depth camera
 - Intel realsense
 - Very noisy output
 - Bad accuracy



Need to be close to the walls



- Embedded Depth camera Usable under some conditions:
 - Be close to the walls
 - Adapt head orientation in some areas
 - Adapt speed in some areas
 - No large hall crossing





CGR in action



Navigation system

Our solutions:

I) Localization

Particle filter : corrective gradient refinement

- II) Path computation
 - Hardcoded trajectories
- III) Path following

IV) Obstacle avoidance

II) Path computation

- Hardcoded base trajectories (centered)
- Corridors divided into 2 aisles
- Automatic computation of aisles paths from base trajectory
- Pro's & con's:
 + fast, simple, control over trajectory
 - not automatically adaptable to a new, bigger building



Navigation system

Our solutions:

I) Localization

Particle filter : corrective gradient refinement

II) Path computation

Hardcoded trajectories

III) Path following

PD controller

IV) Obstacle avoidance

III) Path following

- 2 controls:
 - linear velocity
 - angular velocity
- Pure pursuit
- Proportionnal & Derivative (PD) controller for angle towards destination
- Constant linear velocity


- 2 controls:
 - linear velocity
 - angular velocity
- Pure pursuit
- Proportionnal & Derivative (PD) controller for angle towards destination
- Constant linear velocity



- 2 controls:
 - linear velocity
 - angular velocity
- Pure pursuit
- Proportionnal & Derivative (PD) controller for angle towards destination
- Constant linear velocity



- 2 controls:
 - linear velocity
 - angular velocity
- Pure pursuit
- Proportionnal & Derivative (PD)
 controller for angle ³ towards destination
- Constant linear velocity



- 2 controls:
 - linear velocity
 - angular velocity
- Pure pursuit
- Proportionnal & Derivative (PD)
 controller for angle

 towards
 destination
- Constant linear velocity



- 2 controls:
 - linear velocity
 - angular velocity
- Pure pursuit
- Proportionnal & Derivative (PD)
 controller for angle

 towards
 destination
- Constant linear velocity



III) Obstacle avoidance

- Ultrasonic sensor
- **Stop** & wait
- Short threshold
- Limit max speed of robot!



(Depth cam based, obstacles extraction, dynamic avoidance)



Sources

[1] Biswas, Joydeep, Brian Coltin, and Manuela Veloso. "Corrective gradient refinement for mobile robot localization." 2011 IEEE/RSJ international conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems. IEEE, 2011.

[2] Biswas, Joydeep, and Manuela Veloso. "Fast sampling plane filtering, polygon construction and merging from depth images." RSS, RGB-D Workshop. 2011.

[3] M. A. Fischler, R. C. Bolles. Random Sample Consensus: A Paradigm for Model Fitting with Applications to Image Analysis and Automated Cartography. Comm. of the ACM, Vol 24, pp 381-395, 1981.